

TOWNSHIP OF SADDLE BROOK
ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES
April 7, 2025 Regular Meeting

The Saddle Brook Zoning Board of Adjustment will hold a regular meeting 7:00 p.m. on Monday April 7, 2025 at **(Saddle Brook Municipal Complex, 55 Mayhill Street)**

1. CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER

2. FLAG SALUTE

3. OPEN PUBLIC MEETING ACT: adequate notice of this meeting has been sent to all members of the Zoning Board and to all legal newspapers in Accordance with all the Provisions of the “Open Meetings Act”, Chapter 231, P.L. 1975.

4. ROLL CALL

Ms. Murray, Mr. Mazzer, Mr. Schilp, Mr. Tokosh, Ms. Nobile, Mr. Francin, Mr. Manzo, Mr. Latona and Mr. Duffy – Present.

Mr. Burbano and Mr. Gjorgievski are absent. Mr. Francin sits in for Mr. Burbano.

Mr. Cialone the Board Attorney, Mr. Kurus the Board Engineer and Mr. Paparozzi the Board Planner are also in attendance.

5. NEW BUSINESS

A.) Fabian & Mary Barrios, 598 Hickory Avenue, Block 1806, Lot 27

Applicant requests to construct a front covered porch, rear addition and second floor addition that does not conform to the zoning ordinance for the Township of Saddle Brook, as it exists today.

(Applicant was carried from the March 3, 2025 meeting without requiring further notice.)

Jacob Solomon is the architect for this application and he and Mr. Barrios are still sworn in from the last meeting. He gives his address as 14-25 Plaza Road Suite S35 Fair Lawn, NJ.

Mr. Cialone confirms that they are still sworn in and that the notice was proper at the previous meeting and they were carried with no additional notice required.

Mr. Solomon – There were a couple of requests that we fulfilled by the Board. One was a topographical survey that was submitted with the application. A revised letter of denial was prepared by the Construction Official and submitted with the application and we revised our drawings based on the comments the Board had last month. We provided an attic plan showing the height to the underside of the collar ties at six feet. The building height has been reduced to 27 feet to the mean. The first floor has been surveyed to be three feet above the grade which means the top of the ridge is 31 feet 9 inches from the grade. As per the zoning ordinance it’s measured at 27 feet as per the definition of building height. According to the Zoning Officer the front yard does not need a variance. The porch is allowed to encroach into the front yard setback. He deemed that front yard variance was not required. The applicant is here for questions but he did testify at the last meeting that the pool is removed which therefore reduces our proposed coverage 1.6% so we’re at 50.06% for the total coverage.

Mr. Kurus – How does the height compare to what we had last month?

Mr. Solomon – It was at 28 feet we were at the max permitted by the ordinance and we reduced the roof pitch, lowered the ridge and came up with 27 feet to the mean.

Mr. Kurus – To the top of the house?

Mr. Solomon – Thirty one feet nine inches.

Mr. Paparozzi – The old survey that I have with the application didn't show the pool and I remember Mr. Solomon showed the pool and I guess we discussed it was one of those small pools. I'm not sure if there was a filtration system for the pool.

Mr. Solomon – The pool has been totally removed.

Mr. Paparozzi – It's on your revision 3/20/25.

Mr. Solomon – Yes it came down right about the last meeting date.

Mr. Paparozzi – Okay so there is no pool so the revision.

Mr. Solomon – There's no pool I think it was removed at the meeting date last month or the day after the meeting date.

Mr. Paparozzi – The front porch where you said there was no variance isn't there a variance for exceeding five feet. The front porch can't exceed five feet even though you don't exceed the setback you exceed the size. I think the size is that you can only go five feet on the front porch even though you don't have a front porch setback variance you have a front porch variance for exceeding five feet. I think your plan shows 8.3 feet.

Mr. Solomon – Okay.

Mr. Paparozzi – So that would be added. That's all I have.

Mr. Cialone – You said 8.3.

Mr. Paparozzi – That's what the plan shows is it still 8.3 Mr. Solomon?

Mr. Solomon – Yes.

Mr. Duffy – Then we need a variance for the front yard setback.

Mr. Paparozzi – No not the front yard setback it doesn't encroach in the front setback it exceeds the maximum front porch allowance.

Mr. Duffy – The dimensions of the porch.

Mr. Paparozzi – Yes.

Mr. Solomon – We need a variance for the front porch depth.

Mr. Cialone – On the maximum total coverage you have 50.6%.

Mr. Solomon – 50.8% without the pool it will drop 1.6% to 49.2%.

Mr. Cialone – 49.2% without the pool.

Mr. Solomon – That's correct.

Mr. Cialone – Only because the Construction Official has 51.76%.

Mr. Duffy – Yours matched 51.76% on your legend also the same as Mr. Ambrogio's so what's the true final number then?

Mr. Solomon – Let's go with the higher one.

Mr. Duffy – I looked at last month's 50.8% you're right.

Mr. Cialone – It's 50.8% but now that the pool is gone it's actually going to be 49.2%.

Mr. Solomon – Correct.

Mr. Cialone – Okay. So you don't need a variance for accessory coverage.

Mr. Solomon – Correct.

Mr. Duffy – If there are no further comments can I have a motion to open the meeting to the public.

Ms. Murray makes a motion seconded by Mr. Schilp to open to the public. All in favor – YES.

Mr. Duffy – Having heard none.

Ms. Murray makes a motion seconded by Mr. Schilp to close to the public. All in favor – YES.

Mr. Duffy – Any further questions before we proceed?

Ms. Murray – Just a reminder for your client that if he ever replaces the shed it's going to have to follow the correct setback and if he ever puts a pool in again he will have to come back.

Mr. Solomon – Correct.

Mr. Schilp makes a motion to approve the application with the correction for maximum lot coverage.

Mr. Duffy – We also need the variance for the front porch depth and that was it.

Mr. Cialone – Were there any engineering conditions?

Mr. Kurus – There were not.

Mr. Duffy – You were satisfied with the topographic survey.

Mr. Kurus – Yes.

Mr. Duffy – We have a motion on the floor.

Ms. Murray seconds the motion to approve the application.

Mr. Duffy – Roll call.

Roll call - Ms. Murray, Mr. Mazzer, Mr. Schilp, Mr. Tokosh, Ms. Nobile, Mr. Francin, Mr. Duffy – YES.

B.) Paul Levin, 32 Garden Street, Block 905, Lot 7

Applicant requests to construct a carport that does not conform to the zoning ordinance for the Township of Saddle Brook, as it exists today.

Mr. Cialone confirms that the notice was in order and that the application may be heard.

Mr. Cialone swears in Paul Levin he gives his address as 32 Garden Street in Saddle Brook.

Mr. Duffy – Would you explain to the Board what the situation is and what you're asking for.

Mr. Levin – I wanted just a canopy to cover my antique cars that are there that the heat has been taking a toll on and I understood that if it was four poles and not attached to anything it would be fine and obviously it's not it was a mistake I made. I apologize for that I've lived in Town for 33 years and I had one variance done before because I knew it needed to be done. If I knew that it needed to be done I would have had it done and went through the more proper channels than the channel that I went through. My mother passed away October first so that was always a discussion that we had like what am I going to do with my cars when she dies because I won't be able to store them at her house. That's my situation I just want something to cover them keep the heat away from them. There's all kinds of crazy things I didn't want something that was going to blow away in my neighbor's yard or down the street they make there's a lot of different gadgets that they make today and I just wanted something that'll be sturdy and aesthetic looking versus I mean there's just so many different things you could do and I thought that would be the best and look the nicest.

Mr. Duffy – So there's a couple of difficulties one it was built without a permit and I'm sorry for your loss but that presents a problem. Part of that problem also is that there are no plans. There are things that have to be known such as the depth of the footings, the distance from the side yard setback, the distance from the structure, the size of it a number of items some type of plan barring a sketch would have given us more information. It's an issue that comes up and one with the Board in my experience we've not been too favorable when people do things without permits.

Mr. Levin – If I knew I needed one I would have done that. I did take a picture of the depth.

Mr. Duffy – I'm not trying to be crass or anything but in today's day and age the I didn't know really when it comes to building something could be answered so simply by making a phone call.

Mr. Levin – That was my fault on talking to hearsay and saying that you don't need to do anything and that there's nothing to be done if you're just doing four poles but that was my fault on my end.

Mr. Duffy – One of the things that we try to do as a Board is help our citizens so you know the people that live here so they're not going through some difficult times. I have a suggestion and this is something that I would suggest that you ask us to do. It's not a condition of approval or denial or anything like that but I think it would be prudent if there was some plan put together with some parameters that if we were to move forward and approve it we would have something to justify that the structure was built properly right now we don't know.

Mr. Levin – I do have pictures of the cement depths that I could find for you.

Mr. Duffy – That wouldn't be for our, if you said it was going to be 48 inches down and then comply with the codes of the Town we're not inspecting so I'm not going to and none of us are going to say oh that's okay. That would be something you would take up and prove with the Building Code Official.

Mr. Levin – Okay.

Mr. Duffy – The setback where it's actually close to the property line and how it affects your neighbor and the water runoff these are some items that we need to do so my suggestion is that you ask the Board to adjourn this evening and then you put that information together and provide it to the Township and come back at another date.

Mr. Levin – Okay.

Mr. Duffy – An updated survey would be one of the things. Does anybody on the Board have any suggestions or comments to what I'm saying now?

Mr. Schilp – As a member of the Fire Department for 52 years now I was back there and God forbid there was a fire anyplace back there it would be extremely difficult for us to get around. There's distances that people put up on a regular basis they want to build a garage they want to build this and build that and the distance between the buildings and what you're trying to put in we allow some of it providing it meets fire codes and stuff, We haven't got a clue what this was built with or anything like that and if it meets the fire codes. I know what you want to do I have three antique cars myself but the way it sits right now when I was back there way too close to the house God forbid there was a fire it would spread to everything.

Mr. Mazzer – You have to check your fire rating and if it's canvas or fiberglass or whatever it is you have to check your fire ratings. What I'm looking at though the way it's set up it makes it tough to get a car out of the garage or under the other carport. Why didn't you put that one next to the roofed carport the one there this way you can get them out easy and it gives you more room to get around. You know what I mean you have it right between the house and garage you put a car in there you have to move that car every time you want to access it.

Mr. Levin – Well I do I play musical cars I do.

Mr. Mazzer – If you put that next to the one that's there now the firemen are happy because you got more room all the way around everything and you can get the vehicle out without moving anything and it would be away from the house.

Mr. Paparozzi – You would lose a couple of variances.

Mr. Mazzer – Yeah I mean it's up to you but it would make sense a little bit.

Mr. Duffy – That would be your decision whether to take it down and repositioning it in another place. The decision at this point is yours if you want to proceed this evening this is the two outcomes it would be there would be an approval with there's not many conditions it's already done or it would be a denial and it would have to be removed or the other option for you is to ask for an adjournment and put together plans because and that does not mean please don't misconstrue this as if you do this it's an automatic acceptance but in order for us to move forward on that we would need more information so we could make a good decision.

Mr. Levin – You want me to draw up a set of plans and say what the materials all made of and the roof made of.

Mr. Duffy – As a homeowner you have some latitude as far as how much you have to out into the plans but you would need plans that show not only the dimensions not 251 inches the actual dimensions the setback of where they are from the property line, where they are in relation to the garage and the house, where the water is going to run off from and the basic construction of it. It doesn't have to be you know you have to make sure you provide the depth of the footings. Your plans should show that what the footings are what it's made of so we can verify the fire rating because you're close to the house. You're close to the garage and close to the house so we want to make sure there's not a problem for you. Have an updated survey done. When he updates the survey don't put your plans on his survey.

Mr. Levin – You mean the surveyor I paid eight hundred dollars for?

Mr. Duffy – Yes.

Mr. Levin – Okay.

Mr. Duffy – That survey doesn't have the dimensions or the setbacks.

Mr. Cialone – He has to update this because he shows it labels it as a gazebo but it doesn't show the setbacks distance from the sideline the garage the house that's what the Chairman is talking about.

Mr. Levin – Call the survey place and get the setbacks.

Mr. Paparozzi – If the applicant is going to move it as Commissioner Mazzer said you should wait until after you move it to get the survey. Don't get a survey now and then move it then you'll need another survey so if you are going to move it move it before you get an updated survey. If you are not going to move it have your surveyor put the setbacks on it and the dimensions.

Mr. Levin – Okay so there's a lot I have to think about.

Mr. Duffy – There is a little caveat here for you. The next meeting is May 5th so you can ask for an adjournment for that meeting and if you don't have your decision and all this together before the time

that's required for that meeting you can notify the secretary that you're going to request another extension and that could be granted. So you have a couple of decisions to make or you can go for broke right now and find out how we all sit.

Mr. Levin – I'm not much of a gambler although sometimes when people gamble they win and luck out.

Mr. Duffy – That's why it's called gambling.

Mr. Levin – That's what I'm thinking.

Mr. Duffy – If you understand the sentiment is that we're trying to help you and now the balls in your court.

Mr. Levin – From what I'm understanding if I were to gamble now you would all probably say no because you don't have enough information.

Mr. Duffy – We don't have information and you put it up without a permit which doesn't sit well.

Mr. Levin – No I know that.

Mr. Duffy – I saw what you put up so you spent money doing it so take a look at that.

Mr. Levin – I guess the best thing to do is take the adjournment because I would like to show you what it's made of and I do have pictures of the footings with a tape measure just in case.

Mr. Schilp – That would go to the Building Department.

Mr. Duffy – Yeah that wouldn't be for us but it should be on the plan what the depth of them is.

Mr. Cialone – The Chairman's talking about two things an updated survey and he's talking about some type of architectural plan.

Mr. Duffy – Not that you have to go to an architect.

Mr. Levin – I went to an architect when I had my other variance done.

Mr. Duffy – I'm going to ask for a motion to grant your adjournment before I do that I'm just going to tell you that you can call the secretary and he can tell you again what we just said in case you forgot.

Mr. Levin – Okay I'll do that I may even get an architect to do that.

Mr. Schilp – If you were thinking of moving it you would have to get drawings and stuff bring it back to the Construction Official and he will tell you yes or no.

Mr. Cialone – He does have coverage issues.

The Board offers Mr. Levin some options and advice on how to proceed.

Mr. Duffy – We have a request for an adjournment can I have a motion?

Mr. Schilp makes a motion seconded by Ms. Murray to approve an adjournment to next month.

Mr. Duffy – This is without further notice until Monday May 5th. We have a motion and second, roll call.

Roll call - Ms. Murray, Mr. Mazzer, Mr. Schilp, Mr. Tokosh, Ms. Nobile, Mr. Francin, Mr. Duffy – YES.

Mr. Duffy – Mr. Levin you have been granted an adjournment to our May 5th meeting this application will not need to be renoticed for that so anybody who is here for this application tonight it will not be renoticed it'll be heard again on May 5th unless Mr. Levin asks for an extension.

C.) Gene & Agatha Palko, 102 Jamros Terrace, Block 1203, Lot 16

Applicant requests to construct a 15' x 30' semi-in ground pool that does not conform to the zoning ordinance for the Township of Saddle Brook, as it exists today.

Mr. Cialone confirms that the notice is in order and they may proceed with the application.

Mr. Cialone swears in Gene and Agatha Palko they give their address as 102 Jamros Terrace.

Mr. Duffy – Mr. Palko can you walk the Board through your application what it is you're looking for.

Mr. Palko – We're doing a fifteen by thirty semi in ground pool my wife did most of the coordination with this but the pool would be six feet off each side of the fences from the neighbors and it would be semi in ground so I think it's two foot deep. The filter and stuff like that would be close to the deck. I don't know if there's any stipulation as far as how far off the house or the deck that it needs to be. I don't think we got that far. That would be the electrician and everything else and all the inspections on that right.

Mr. Duffy – Right.

Mrs. Palko – We're very flexible we'll do whatever.

Mr. Palko – Whatever we need to do.

Mr. Duffy – You do have to shift we're you're putting your pool your measurements might be a little off. You do have an easement in the back.

Mrs. Palko – I guess without the easement it would be okay to be six feet off but we can't have it six feet off.

Mr. Duffy – No.

Mr. Paparozzi – It has to be at least ten.

Mr. Palko – Ten from where?

Mr. Duffy – From the property line.

Mr. Palko – But six feet off the side.

Mr. Duffy – Yes that's fine. Does anyone have any questions?

Mr. Schilp – Just make sure you have someplace where you can run when you backwash the filter and stuff.

Mr. Palko – No problem.

Mr. Paparozzi – By moving the pool I know was drawn in by the applicant it may create a variance accessory structure to accessory structure.

Mr. Duffy – On relationship to the deck.

Mr. Paparozzi – Yes the deck or shed or whatever. Also the filter pool equipment needs to be shown because there are setbacks for the equipment as well.

Mrs. Palko – The squiggly line is where we said we would put it.

Mr. Duffy – That's what that mark is.

Mrs. Palko – I tried my best.

Mr. Duffy – Actually you did a very good job because I was just corrected that little squiggly line you drew a legend on the side of the plan that I overlooked.

Mr. Paparozzi – By moving the pool forward it may be by the filter anyway so I think it has to be done either a little bit more on scale or something just to make sure you don't create a variance.

Mr. Palko – If we had to we could do a 24 foot pool so it wouldn't be if we pull it off the fence a little bit so we're flexible with that if we have to.

Mr. Barrale – If you measure it it's actually longer than thirty feet.

Mr. Paparozzi – I scaled it they scaled it it's not scaled correctly but it's also in the easement so I'm not sure exactly where I didn't want to plot it myself in case the scale is off.

Ms. Murray – I drew it in to scale and it looks like it's with a ten foot easement it's about 7 foot off the deck but that's if the plan is to scale.

Mr. Paparozzi – So in other words if they do a 30 foot pool it would be a variance accessory structure to accessory structure.

Mr. Duffy – How far does it need to be off the deck?

Mr. Paparozzi – You have to be ten feet off the deck and ten feet off the rear line and six feet off the side yard. If you can fit that in then you would just have to give the Board the dimensions of the pool I guess.

Mr. Schilp – How high is the deck?

Mr. Palko – I think it's four feet high.

Ms. Murray – I only scale seven feet off the deck.

Mr. Paparozzi – They can get an updated survey and just have them plot the pool and the filter and this way they can get the maximum out of the pool based on the survey's measurements. So if you can fit thirty the surveyor will at least give you that you can fit thirty. If you can't then he'll tell you what you could fit and that would be the size that you would have to install.

Mr. Kurus – I'm getting roughly 48 feet between the fence and the deck so 48 minus 10 for the easement and 10 for the deck that leaves you with 28 so it's going to be close. The 30 would need the variance as

Mr. Paparozzi was saying but if you did a 28 do they make a 28?

Mr. Palko – Twenty six.

Mr. Kurus – Twenty six so the twenty six you wouldn't need a variance.

Mr. Paparozzi – Just as long as the pool equipment is six feet off the property line.

Mr. Duffy – The only thing that would change on the max accessory coverage if they went with a 26 is the next size so that would change that number.

Mr. Paparozzi – It would be a little less but it would still be a variance.

Mr. Duffy – Does anyone else have any questions?

Mr. Schilp – I consider giving the thirty foot pool with the seven foot from the deck that's my only comment we've done it before for other people.

Ms. Murray – You're not going to put a deck around the pool?

Mrs. Palko – No.

Ms. Murray – Okay.

Mr. Schilp – Where are the stairs going to be?

Mrs. Palko – We would put them on the left side.

Mr. Schilp – Where it says block 1203?

Mr. Palko – Yes.

Mr. Schilp – If you put it on the front on the curve then you cut down the distance to the deck.

Mr. Palko – The entry would be toward where the grass area would lead up to that side of the pool.

Mr. Schilp – Thank you.

Mr. Duffy – Any further questions?

There are no further questions.

Mr. Duffy – Can I have a motion to open the meeting to the public?

Mr. Schilp makes a motion seconded by Ms. Murray to open to the public. All in favor – YES.

Mr. Duffy – Having heard none.

Ms. Murray makes a motion seconded by Mr. Schilp to close to the public. All in favor – YES.

Mr. Duffy – What's the pleasure?

Mr. Schilp – I'd like to make a motion that we approve it with the thirty feet and the seven foot from the pool to the deck and we get a better scaled out drawing.

Mr. Duffy – Okay let's formalize this before we get a second.

Mr. Paparozzi – Mr. Chairman if I can on that motion. If you allow the thirty foot pool and is seven feet to the deck you're going to be nineteen feet to the house which creates another variance. So it has to be accessory to the primary building has to be twenty feet. Accessory to accessory is ten accessory to primary.

Mr. Duffy – Okay.

Mr. Paparozzi – So it would be it may be a foot it may be a little less I don't know because we don't have the proper numbers but I'm scaling the deck at roughly twelve feet and if it's seven that's like nineteen it's supposed to be twenty so you just add another variance I just want to make sure it's all included so the Palko's don't have an issue later.

Mr. Schilp – I'd like to add that in as well.

Mr. Cialone – I just think the way to handle this is so they're amending their application to relocate the pool so it will be ten feet from the rear property line.

Mr. Duffy – That satisfies the easement.

Mr. Cialone – Right and with that and the thirty foot length and now going to require a variance for the distance between the pool and the deck. They're going to require a variance for seven feet where ten feet is required and then the distance between the accessory and the house will be nineteen feet where twenty feet is required. So they require two additional variances by locating the thirty foot pool ten feet from the rear property line.

Mr. Paparozzi – Maximum accessory coverage would be the other variance

Mr. Cialone – Correct which that wouldn't change.

Mr. Paparozzi – Right.

Mr. Cialone – The other thing I would recommend is just a condition that they backwash the pool to the street.

Mr. Duffy – We would need an updated survey to show all this with measurements or we're good with that?

Mr. Cialone – Yes they can provide it to the Building Department.

Mr. Duffy – So you understand that.

Mrs. Palko – When you say variance what does that mean do we have to pay a fee?

Mr. Duffy – No.

Mr. Schilp – You did already this is giving you approval to do what you want to do but there's a couple of stipulations that you have to move the pool up we approved the seven feet, we approved the one foot to the house and what was the other one?

Mr. Cialone – You have the backwash the pool to the street and you're going to have to submit a revised plan to the Building Department to show what it will actually look like.

Mr. Paparozzi – Call the surveyor who did it and he'll put the numbers on it so that when you get your permit. He can show the pool filter as well-being more than six feet to the property and you can show the size of the pool and those other numbers.

Mr. Palko – how close can you be to the house with the filter?

Mr. Paparozzi – There is no.

Mr. Palko – You see where the A/C is, is it allowed to be next to that?

Mr. Paparozzi – Yes the six feet to the side yard was because of noise for the neighbors so that's what that's for so you can go right up against your house they don't care it's your noise.

Mr. Duffy – Just to make one more note on this, this is for our protection. If anybody challenges where you put your pool you came and you got permission even though it's a little closer than it should be in certain places you have permission nobody can challenge it and force you to take it down, That's what these variances do. We have a motion on the floor.

Ms. Murray – Second.

Roll call - Ms. Murray, Mr. Mazzer, Mr. Schilp, Mr. Tokosh, Ms. Nobile, Mr. Francin, Mr. Duffy – YES.

6. RESOLUTIONS

A.) Approval Mahanbir Singh, 23 Strathmore Terrace, Block 1808, Lot 22

Mr. Schilp makes a motion seconded by Ms. Murray to approve the resolution.

Roll call - Ms. Murray, Mr. Mazzer, Mr. Schilp, Ms. Nobile, Mr. Francin, Mr. Manzo, Mr. Duffy – YES.

7. MINUTES

Meeting of March 3, 2025 Regular Meeting

Ms. Murray makes a motion seconded by Mr. Schilp to read and file. All in favor – YES.

8. COMMUNICATIONS

Anthony Kurus to the Zoning Board of Adjustment, 3/03/25 (32 Garden Street)

Anthony Kurus to the Zoning Board of Adjustment, 3/06/25 (102 Jamros Terrace)

Mr. Schilp makes a motion seconded by Ms. Murray to read and file. All in favor – YES.

9. VOUCHERS

Neglia Engineering Assoc., 3/03/25, Paul Levin, 32 Garden Street, Block 905, Lot 7 \$150.00

Neglia Engineering Assoc., 3/03/25, Fabian Barrios, 598 Hickory Ave., Block 1806, Lot 27 \$150.00

Basile Birchwale & Pellino, 2/20/25, Mahanbir Singh, 23 Strathmore Terrace, Blk 1808, Lot 22 \$250.00

Mr. Schilp makes a motion seconded by Ms. Murray to pay if the funds are available. All in favor – YES.

10. OPEN AND CLOSE MEETING TO THE PUBLIC

Mr. Schilp makes a motion seconded by Ms. Murray to open to the public. All in favor – YES.

Mr. Duffy – Having heard none.

Ms. Murray makes a motion seconded by Mr. Schilp to close to the public. All in favor – YES.

11. ADJOURN

Mr. Schilp makes a motion seconded by Ms. Murray to adjourn. All in favor – YES.

Meeting adjourned at 7:57 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank Barrale